Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology

Volume 16, 2019

Edited by Eli B. Cohen



Informing Science Press

Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology: Volume 16, 2019

Editor: Eli B. Cohen, Informing Science Institute

Copyright © 2019 by the Informing Science Institute. All rights reserved.

(CC BY-NC 4.0) Articles in this journal are licensed to you under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0</u> International License. When you copy and redistribute any of these articles in full or in part, you need to provide proper attribution to it to ensure that others can later locate this work (and to ensure that others do not accuse you of plagiarism). You may (and we encourage you to) adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any non-commercial purposes. This license does not permit you to use this material for commercial purposes.

Published by: Informing Science Press 131 Brookhill Court Santa Rosa, California 95409 USA

Telephone: + 1.707.324-3171

Email: Publisher@InformingScience.org

Website: http://InformingSciencePress.com



ISBN: 978-1-68110-048-7

ISSN: 1547-9684

Printed in the USA

The Journal of Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology

Volume 16, 2019

Table of Contents

How to Program a Chatbot – An Introductory Project and Student Perceptions Ju Long, Michael Juntao Yuan, Hsun-Ming Lee
Implications of Updating Digital Literacy – A Case Study in an Optometric Curriculum Jo Coldwell-Neilson, James A Armitage, Ryan J Wood-Bradley, Blair Kelly, Alex Gentle
Fostering Self and Peer Learning Inside and Outside the Classroom through the Flipped Classroom Approach for Postgraduate Students Eugenia M. W. Ng
Integrating Drone Technology in STEM Education: A Case Study to Assess Teachers' Readiness and Training Needs Wing Shui Ng, Gary Cheng
Self-efficacy, Challenge, Threat and Motivation in Virtual and Blended Courses on Multicultural Campuses Revital Cohen, Ilan Daniels Rahimi, Gila Cohen Zilka
Agile Requirements Engineering: An Empirical Analysis and Evidence from a Tertiary Education Context Meetu Thomas, Mali Senapathi
Assessing the Graphic Questionnaire Used in Digital Literacy Training Elena Maceviciute, Tom Wilson, Zinaida Manžuch
Factors Influencing Women's Decision to Study Computer Science: Is It Context Dependent? Sara Genut, Bnaya Ori, Yifat Ben-David Kolikant
Combining Summative and Formative Evaluation Using Automated Assessment John English, Tammy English
Autoethnography of the Cultural Competence Exhibited at an African American Weekly Newspape Organization Erik Bean
Business Priorities Driving BYOD Adoption: A Case Study of a South African Financial Services Organization Jacques Ophoff, Steve Miller
Transition to First Year University Study: A Qualitative Descriptive Study on the Psychosocial and Emotional Impacts of a Science Workshop Jyothi Thalluri, Joy Penman
Virtually There: The Potential, Process and Problems of Using 360° Video in the Classroom Sophie McKenzie, Justin Rough, Aaron Spence, Nick Patterson

Talia Yeshua, Ya'akov Mandelbaum, Ragda Abdalla-Aslan, Chen Nadler, Laureen Levana Zemour, Daniel Kabla, Ori Gleisner, Isaac Leichter	Cohen
Technology in the Classroom: Teachers' Technology Choices in Relation to Content Created and Distribution Suzanne Sackstein, Linda Spark, Bryan Turner	
The Competencies Required for the BPA Role: An Analysis of the Kenyan Context Elizabeth Wamicha, Lisa Seymour	255-275
Learning Entrepreneurship through Virtual Multicultural Teamwork Anat Goldstein, Ruti Gafni	277-305
Understanding Online Learning Based on Different Age Categories Danielle Morin, Hamed Safaee, Raafat Saadé	307-317
Transforming a First-year Accounting Course Using a Blended Learning Pathway Clara Nkhoma, Mathews Nkhoma, Susan Thomas, Long Ky Tu, Nha Quoc Le	319-342
Emoji Identification and Prediction in Hebrew Political Corpus Chaya Liebeskind	343-359
An Examination of the Barriers to Leadership for Faculty of Color at U.S. Universities Sydney Freeman Jr., Karen Krier, Ahmed A. Al-Asfour, Russell Thacker	361-376
Grit and Persistence: Findings from a Longitudinal Study of Student Performance Nicole Buzzetto-Hollywood, Bryant C. Mitchell	377-391

Review Process

Except where otherwise noted, all papers were reviewed using a process commonly known as double-blind (that is, with author and affiliation information) by between 6 and 10 external reviewers. The reviewers did not know the identity of the authors nor the authors of the reviewers. Reviewers were matched to papers using a formula to minimize the psychological distance between reviewers' stated expertise and interest and the topics covered in the paper. In cases where this formula did not provide at least 6 reviewers, reviewers were randomly assigned to papers. No reviewer was required to review more than 3 papers.

Reviewers were instructed to mentor the submission's authors by providing feedback on how to improve the submission. They were further required to recommend whether or not the paper should be accepted using a six-point scale (from "reject" to "must accept").

The authors of all papers, whether accepted or not, were provided with the reviewers' feedback as part of our process of mentoring authors. Authors of accepted papers were required to revise their submissions in light of the issues raised in the reviews.

We believe that the papers in this journal represent a great contribution to science.